

How to Read a Bare Act in Pakistan

PPC, CrPC, CPC and Qanun-e-Shahadat

By Shahbaz Shah, Advocate High Court

A reader may remember a section number and still misunderstand the provision. The mistake often begins with reading only its opening words, overlooking a definition, or assuming that an exception applies without checking its conditions. Good statutory reading requires more than remembering what a section is commonly called.

To read a bare Act properly, first identify the applicable text. Then separate the provision into its subject, conditions, operative rule, qualifications and consequence. Follow its definitions and cross-references, examine the relevant judicial interpretation, and test the resulting rule against the facts. This method is useful both for learning law and for preparing a case.

This guide explains that method through the Pakistan Penal Code, 1860 (PPC), the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (CrPC), the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC), and the Qanun-e-Shahadat Order, 1984 (QSO). The examples teach how to read particular provisions; they do not replace a full examination of the law applicable to an actual dispute.

What a bare Act contains

A bare Act presents the statutory text without the detailed discussion normally found in a legal commentary. The expression is also used in practice for published texts of Codes, Orders and other legislation.

Do not confuse an explanation forming part of the legislation with a publisher's explanation. A statute may contain its own definitions, explanations, illustrations, provisos and schedules. Those must be read as part of the relevant legislative material. Editorial summaries, marginal notes added by a publisher, and case annotations should be identified separately.

When using a printed book or a website, establish where the enacted text ends and editorial material begins. A convenient summary can help you locate a question. It cannot safely substitute for the words you are trying to interpret.

Start by checking the text you are reading

Write down the law's full title, the relevant jurisdiction, the date of the event, and the edition or source being used. Then check commencement provisions, amendments and any applicable local changes. A newly printed book does not, by its publication date alone, establish that every relevant amendment has been incorporated.

The Ministry of Law and Justice's Pakistan Code is a useful official starting point. Its website marks the PPC, CrPC and CPC as under review and directs users to the relevant Gazette notification where doubt arises. The site also links its Gazette retrieval service. That makes source checking part of the reading exercise, rather than something to leave until the end. [Official Pakistan Code and Gazette guidance \[1\]](#)

For an actual case, identify the period for which you need the law. If an amendment may affect the answer, compare the earlier wording, the amending instrument and the

commencement or transitional provisions. Do not assume that the latest wording automatically answers a question about an earlier event.

Keep a simple source record: provision, version checked, amendment checked, jurisdiction and date checked. If the version remains uncertain, record that uncertainty instead of silently treating the text as settled.

Understand the book before studying the section

Spend a few minutes with the contents page. Identify the preliminary provisions, definitions, main subject divisions, procedural provisions, remedies and schedules. The purpose is to learn where a question belongs before trying to remember its answer.

Law	Useful starting question
PPC	What conduct and mental element does the provision require?
CrPC	At what stage, by which authority, and on what conditions can action be taken?
CPC	Which section and which Order or Rule deal with the procedural question?
QSO	Is the question about relevance, admissibility, proof, burden or a witness?

These are reading prompts, not rigid boundaries between the laws. The statutory examples below show how to use them. [PPC \[2\]](#); [CrPC \[3\]](#); [CPC \[4\]](#); [QSO \[5\]](#)

A map of the contents is especially useful when two provisions have similar language but deal with different stages or different remedies. Locating the provision within its chapter helps prevent a familiar phrase from being applied to the wrong question.

Read in three passes

On the first pass, read the whole provision without highlighting. Work out what problem it addresses. Include every subsection, clause, proviso, explanation and illustration attached to it.

On the second pass, identify its structure. Mark who is addressed, what must happen first, what is required or permitted, and what changes the result. Check the words you do not understand before paraphrasing the provision.

On the third pass, read the original again alongside your notes. This is the checking stage. Has your summary omitted a condition? Have you turned a discretion into an entitlement? Have you treated alternative grounds as if all must coexist? Correct the note rather than forcing the statutory text to fit it.

The first pass gives an overview, the second builds understanding, and the third tests whether that understanding is faithful to the text. With a difficult provision, repeat the process on a smaller subsection before returning to the whole.

Break a provision into its working parts

Use the following questions as a reading sheet:

- Who is addressed by the provision?

- What event, fact or procedural stage brings it into operation?
- What conditions must be satisfied?
- What act is required, prohibited or permitted?
- Who has authority to act?
- What qualification, exception or restriction applies?
- What consequence or remedy follows?
- Which definition, schedule or other provision must be consulted?

Do not force every section into all eight questions. A definition may not create a remedy. A procedural rule may not create an offence. The questions help reveal the provision's function; they should not make you invent a function it does not have.

If a sentence is long, place each condition on a separate line in your notes. Preserve the connectors between them. Separating a sentence is useful only if you later put its parts back together correctly.

Follow defined words before relying on ordinary meanings

When a word appears important to the result, look for its definition in the legislation. Ask whether that definition applies throughout the law, only within a particular chapter, or subject to a stated contextual qualification.

Mark words such as "means", "includes" and "deemed", but resist turning them into automatic answers. Record what the complete definition actually covers. Where a definition itself uses another defined expression, follow that reference too.

A useful margin note points you back to the source: "check definition" followed by the provision number. A loose synonym can be less helpful because, after several revisions, it may replace the legal expression in your memory.

Do not begin with a dictionary simply because a word looks unfamiliar. First establish whether the statute has already assigned it a meaning. If you later use a dictionary or commentary, record which question it helped answer and compare the explanation with the text.

A PPC example using the definition of theft

Section 378 PPC can be separated into dishonest intention, movable property, possession of another person, absence of that person's consent, and movement of the property for the intended taking. The wording concerns possession; a summary that substitutes ownership changes the question. Sections 22 to 24 assist with movable property, wrongful gain or loss, and dishonesty. PPC, sections 22 to 24 and 378 [2]

Turn those requirements into factual questions: What was moved? From whose possession? Was there consent? What supports the alleged dishonest intention? Identify which requirement each item of information addresses.

For a learning exercise, compare taking a bag intending to appropriate it with mistakenly picking up an identical bag. Changing the assumed intention helps you see which part of the definition needs attention. This is an illustration of the reading method, not a finding about any actual person's guilt.

After working through the definition, read its explanations and illustrations in the original. Check punishment separately rather than assuming that the definition supplies the complete sentencing answer. [PPC, sections 378 and 379 \[2\]](#)

A CrPC example using the structure of bail provisions

Section 497 CrPC illustrates why an opening sentence cannot stand for the entire section. Its text addresses non-bailable offences, contains a restriction and provisos, and separately addresses further inquiry in subsection (2). Reading only subsection (1)'s restriction leaves out material parts of the provision. [CrPC, section 497 \[3\]](#)

For study, draw a reading map with three entries: the opening framework, the qualifications attached to it, and the separate further-inquiry provision. Then identify the factual question relevant to each entry. Keep the statutory words alongside your summary.

Also locate section 496, which addresses bailable offences, before treating section 497 as the starting point for every bail problem. [CrPC, sections 496 and 497 \[3\]](#)

In a case file, add a separate research question about any applicable special law or local amendment. A remembered bail proposition should be tested against the governing text and the procedural stage. This example is a method for navigating the provisions, not a complete statement of bail law or a prediction about an application.

A CPC example using Orders and Rules

CPC reading requires attention to both the sections and the First Schedule's Orders and Rules. Order VII Rule 11, for example, lists grounds for rejection of a plaint. Clause (a) concerns failure to disclose a cause of action; clause (d) concerns a legal bar appearing from the statement in the plaint. Those are different textual grounds. [CPC, First Schedule, Order VII Rule 11 \[4\]](#)

When taking notes, identify the particular clause, its conditions and its consequence. Do not replace the wording with a general label such as "weak suit". Ask what the clause requires the reader to examine, then research judicial interpretation of that requirement.

Similarly, Order XXXIX addresses temporary injunctions. A reader seeking temporary protection should locate the relevant rule and conditions instead of assuming that every procedural objection belongs under Order VII Rule 11. [CPC, First Schedule, Orders VII and XXXIX \[4\]](#)

The study lesson is to connect the requested order to the provision authorizing it. Before drafting an application, record the precise procedural question and the provision you propose to use.

A QSO example using the burden of proof

Article 117 QSO connects proof with facts asserted in support of a requested judgment on a legal right or liability. Article 118 asks who would fail if neither side produced evidence. Reading the two together provides a better starting point than an isolated slogan about who must prove a case. [QSO, Articles 117 and 118 \[5\]](#)

For an exercise, list each disputed fact separately. Identify who asserts it, why it matters to the requested decision, and what provision governs the burden concerning it. Then examine the relevant illustrations and any other applicable provisions before reaching a conclusion.

Keep relevance, admissibility, proof and evidentiary weight as separate research questions in your notes. Do not assume that answering one resolves all the others. This example introduces a reading approach; it does not resolve every burden or presumption arising in civil or criminal proceedings.

Read every qualification and connector

A proviso may qualify a rule, introduce a condition, confer a power or deal with a particular situation. Ask what this proviso actually does rather than writing "exception" beside every occurrence and moving on.

Give the same attention to phrases such as "subject to", "notwithstanding", "unless", "except" and "as prescribed". Each should send you back to a concrete question: What provision or circumstance is being referred to? What has to be checked before the opening rule can be applied?

Treat "shall" and "may" as signals requiring examination. Read the whole provision and investigate relevant judicial interpretation before deciding the legal effect. A word list is a useful memory aid, but it should not become a substitute for interpretation.

Preserve "and" and "or" in your notes. If you reorganize a provision as bullet points, indicate whether the listed matters are cumulative or alternatives according to the text being studied. Otherwise the formatting itself may distort the rule.

Follow cross-references to their destination

A reference to another section, a prescribed form, a schedule or delegated rules is an instruction to continue reading. Open the destination and record what it contributes. If it refers onward again, follow that connection until the question you are studying is answered.

You do not need to copy every connected provision into your notebook. A short note explaining the relationship is usually more useful: definition, condition, exception, procedure, time limit or remedy. Keep the exact reference so that it can be checked quickly.

If you cannot find the referenced instrument or the applicable version, leave a visible research note. An incomplete chain of references should not be concealed behind a confident summary. In practice, an unanswered source question can matter more than several pages of fluent explanation.

Bring in case law with a precise question

Read the statutory provision before searching for a judgment, then formulate the interpretive question you need answered. A search for the meaning of a particular expression is more focused than a search for any decision mentioning a familiar section number.

When you find a case, check the court, date, statutory wording, material facts, procedural stage and point actually decided. Read the judgment itself where available. Separate a party's argument from the court's reasoning and the operative result.

Then check later treatment and amendments. A case decided on earlier wording may need careful comparison with the text relevant to your problem. Your note should show the connection rather than merely attach a citation to the provision.

Keep three entries distinct: what the statute says, what the court decided about it, and how you propose to apply that combination to your facts. That separation makes an argument easier to verify and exposes unsupported assumptions before they reach a pleading.

For a reading exercise, compare section 169 CrPC with our [analysis of Shujahat Hanif and malicious prosecution](#). Read the statutory provision, then examine the question the Court actually decided.

Make notes that preserve the legal question

A one-page note for a provision can contain the following fields:

1. Full statutory reference and version checked.
2. The question being studied.
3. Defined words and their references.
4. Conditions, qualifications and consequence.
5. Connected provisions or instruments.
6. Relevant judicial interpretation and its limits.
7. A short factual illustration.
8. Any unresolved source or interpretation question.

Use your own words for the explanation, but retain the statutory language whose precise meaning matters. A note becomes unreliable when it sounds clear only because the difficult qualification has been removed.

Keep fact and evidence separate as well. "Consent is disputed" identifies an issue. A particular message or witness account may be material to that issue. Neither entry should silently become a finding that consent existed or was absent.

Test understanding with a hypothetical provision

Consider this invented provision, used only as a reading exercise: "An applicant shall submit the application within thirty days of receiving the order, provided that the authority may accept a delayed application if sufficient cause is shown."

Identify the event from which time runs, the ordinary period, the decision-maker, the qualification concerning delay and the stated condition for invoking it. Notice that a summary saying only "the time limit is thirty days" omits part of the invented rule.

Now change one fact. Suppose the date of receipt is disputed. The calculation needs a factual foundation. Alternatively, suppose the application is late: the delayed-application provision becomes relevant. Do not invent a definition of sufficient cause or a method of counting days that the exercise has not supplied; record those as further questions.

The purpose is to practise separating text, facts and unresolved questions. This exercise does not state an actual limitation period or statutory extension power.

Use a manageable reading routine

A forty-five-minute session can be organized as follows. Spend five minutes locating the provision and its source. Use fifteen minutes for close reading. Give ten minutes to definitions and cross-references, ten to an example or a focused interpretive question, and five to checking your note against the original.

This is a suggested routine, not a prescribed timetable or a claim that every provision can be mastered in one sitting. A difficult section may need several sessions. A short definition may need less.

For revision, close the book and explain the provision aloud. Then reopen it and identify what you missed. Revisit conditions and exceptions, not only the headline rule. Periodically review the chapter map so that individual provisions remain connected to the wider structure.

Common mistakes worth correcting early

Reading only the section heading encourages an incomplete understanding. So does highlighting nearly every line: the page becomes colourful without showing which words control the result.

Copying a textbook's summary without comparing it with the provision can hide missing conditions. Reading a translated explanation without returning to the authoritative wording can leave the same problem unresolved. Use explanations to assist understanding and then check the source.

Another mistake is searching for a favourable case before identifying the legal question. That approach encourages selective reading. Make a deliberate effort to identify the qualification or contrary argument that would make your proposed application fail.

Finally, avoid treating a correct quotation as a complete argument. A useful analysis explains why the provision applies, which facts satisfy its conditions, what qualification has been considered, and what consequence is sought. If one of those links is missing, further reading is needed.

A final check before using the provision

Before relying on a provision in an examination answer, research note or case preparation, ask whether you can identify the applicable text, explain its requirements without changing them, locate its qualifications, and distinguish your interpretation from a factual assumption.

You should also be able to state what remains uncertain. Careful reading does not require pretending that every legal question has an obvious answer. It requires making the question precise enough to investigate and the eventual answer clear enough to check.

Frequently asked questions

Should I memorize section numbers before understanding the law?

Learn useful references as you study, but first understand what the provision requires and where it fits. A remembered number helps you find the law; it does not establish that your interpretation is correct.

Must I read a large Code from the first page to the last?

Begin with an overview of its structure, then study connected provisions in manageable groups. Combine focused reading with systematic revision so that frequently used sections do not become isolated from the rest of the Code.

Should I read a commentary before the bare Act?

Read the relevant statutory text first where possible, use a reliable explanation to resolve difficulty, and then return to the original. The useful sequence is a cycle of reading and checking, rather than a ban on consulting assistance.

Are explanations and illustrations part of the law or merely study notes?

Distinguish those printed as part of the statutory text from examples supplied by an editor or teacher. Check the source and layout; do not assume that everything beneath a section has the same origin or status.

Can I decide a provision's effect merely from the word shall or may?

Do not stop with the isolated word. Examine the provision, its context and relevant judicial interpretation. Record why you reached the conclusion rather than relying on a memorized shortcut.

What should I write in the margin?

Use short references to definitions, qualifications, connected provisions and unresolved questions. Keep longer case summaries in a separate note. The margin should help you find the answer without covering the statutory words.

Where should I begin looking for Pakistani statutory texts?

Pakistan Code provides access to federal laws and links to Gazette material. Read its source qualifications, and check the relevant local law and amendments where necessary. [Official Pakistan Code \[1\]](#)

How do I know that I have understood a section?

Explain its operation in your own words, identify its qualifications, apply it to two different factual assumptions and then check your explanation against the original text. If changing a material fact does not affect your analysis, reconsider whether you have identified the relevant condition.

Sources

1. Pakistan Code, Ministry of Law and Justice, including its Gazette links and source disclaimer [1].
2. Pakistan Penal Code, 1860: sections 22 to 24, 378 and 379 [2].
3. Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898: sections 496 and 497 [3].
4. Code of Civil Procedure, 1908: First Schedule, Orders VII and XXXIX [4].
5. Qanun-e-Shahadat Order, 1984: Articles 117 and 118 [5].

Statutory examples were checked against the official materials identified above. They illustrate a reading method; the applicable text and amendments must still be checked for the jurisdiction and date of an actual dispute. Research checked on 19 October 2026.